

Minutes of BMG Meeting/9 Brussels, 17 Jan 2001

1. List of participants

Mr. Mario Friesacher	Austria
Mr. Michael Pichler	Austria
Mr. Herman Swinnen	Belgium
Mr. Wim de Mol	Belgium
Mr. Ole S. Andersen	Denmark
Mr. Christian Molines	France
Mr. Christian Castejon	France
Mr. Pierre Dupre	France
Mr. Hans-Rudi Sonnabend	IATA
Mr. Wil Van Dijk	Netherlands
Mr. Willem Koetse	Netherlands
Mrs. Eva Noreus	Sweden
Mr. Kevin Loy	UK (Rapporteur)
Mr. Michael Williamson	UK

2. Agenda

- 1 Approval of the Agenda
- 2 Future BMG work practises.
- 3 Outstanding issues from MOTNEG/6
- 4 Task list from MOTNEG/6
- 5 SADIS Gateway presentation.
- 6 AOB
- 7 Date/venue of next meeting.

2. Future BMG work practises.

In the past, much of the work has been on the shoulders of the Belgian administration who provide the Focal Point and where a lot of the raw data is held. It is proposed that we move towards defining our tasks through work packages, from which 1, 2 or 3 people could develop the work, thus allowing a more equitable spread of the workload.

This was agreed in principle, and this would be brought in gradually. Once agenda item 4 was discussed in detail, there might be examples where this could be tried.

3. Outstanding issues from MOTNEG/6

WP1 Adoption of AOP Table Nomenclature.

ICAO have agreed to provide the SADIS Provider State with regular updates to SUG Annex 1 and the AOP tables. A soft version of the AOP table will be provided to the BMG FP, which will be incorporated in the BMG database. This then, will be used as the primary source for the working tables and for those aerodromes not in the AOP table, then DOC7910 will provide the reference. The FP indicated that the web-site should be ready by end-Feb and also that the update of the SADIS Routeing table will be included in the BMG working tables from 25 Jan.

The ODAG representative from Belgium indicated that they also intend to use the same nomenclature for the database station entries and would need information from the file created by the Provider State.

ICAO is restricting the soft copy of Annex 1 to the BMG FP and SADIS Provider State. However, tables produced and contained on the SADIS web-site should be sufficient to provide a soft copy of the merged tables.

4. Task list from MOTNEG/6

a) WP6 – BMG Deliverables.

References were made to WP8 & 9, which were modified following MOTNEG/6. These modifications will allow the MOTNEG/6 report to be completed and distributed by ICAO Paris.

The papers necessary for the various meetings are:

MOTNEG	WP9 EUR OPMET Data Update Procedure: Activity Report
	WP12 Distribution Determination for OPMET Data
	WP14 EUR OPMET Data Monitoring Procedure
	WP15 EUR OPMET Data Update Procedure
METG	WP9
	Db comparison of what data is missing, taken from the official minimum Contents requirement proposed to be Annex 1 as a starting point.
SADISOPSG	WP9

A request was made to review the tables by MOTNE Centres. Work Package to be defined for collators to provide a report for MOTNEG & METG. Action by H Swinnen

b) WP10 – Update Activity Report presented by Belgium.

c) Monitoring

Willem Koetse made a presentation on the SADIS data, which is monitored using their own tool. This was very informative and he was requested to provide a 'soft' copy of the slides to the group members for information and digest in slower time. It is suggested that The Netherlands look to provide a monitoring sample during the next period for comparison with the UK monitoring of the SADIS broadcast. Action: W. Koetse

d) WP4 – SIGMET Testing

The showed a drop in the participation of states. MOTNE centres are to encourage states to participate. Austria will ensure that pre-notification is sent out for forwarding to area of responsibility. Additionally, Austria would send out a letter to states, including the Test SIGMET format to be transmitted.

It was agreed that the 'window' for monitoring be extended to cover the period 0800-1200 due to fact that some states were not keeping to the agreed time. Actual SIGMETs would also be noted within this period. It was noted that Egypt and Morocco will take part in the future.

It is not known what the maximum number of SIGMETs in the EUR region are and the monitoring procedure needed to try and identify this and details provided to Austria for comparison.

e) WP2 – Double transmission of Russian reports

This paper presented a summary of the problems of double transmission of Russian data as reported previously. Checking is required by the states concerned in the paper and actions as detailed.

f) IP2 – Long Messages

This provided information on what had been done by CUG and will be presented to AFSG/4 in April 2001.

g) WP13 – EU Update

A progress update was given following the EUIG/3 the previous day.

h) WP7 – CAR/SAM data requirements

A list of data requirements for the CAR/SAM region will be compiled for request to them through the ICAO Paris office. The routine data will be taken from SUG Annex 1 and information sought from the regions as to what non-routine data is produced. In conjunction with this, a list of available data from the EUR region (taken from SUG Annexes 2 & 3) will be provided to CAR/SAM to enable them to request a revised distribution to satisfy their own requirements.

i) WP15 – Database specification

This was presented by the ODAG. An initial report will be produced in time for BMG/11 for further assessment.

IATA was asked to provide Herman Swinnen with a list of the IATA/ICAO indicators. HS to provide the necessary tables to W de Mol for this paper.

IATA stated that all FIRs were required.

j) WP14 – Database ICD for users (Request/reply & catalogue)

IATA suggest that all data for each FIR is provided.

It was stated that RQM/WS provides all SIGMETs.

YYGGgg in replies issue inconclusive. The ODAG to research and reconsider with solution by BMG/11.

k) WP9 – Performance Indices

Once this is implemented and tested, then it could be expanded to take account of arrival times. M Williamson to liaise further with W de Mol.

l) IP3 – US SIGMETs

This paper was presented indicating listings received from KWBC which will be implemented at the next AIRAC date.

m) WP3 – Request format for Inter-Regional data requests

This was accepted as a way forward in this matter, subject to some minor amendments to the format in Annex C.

n) EUR CIDIN/OPMET

States who had implemented this were requested to report any problems, which had been experienced.

5. AOB

Work Packages were defined to achieve some deliverables:

a) Work Package 1 - BMG Operations Handbook

It was suggested that with the many different documents etc. which were in use by the BMG, that a handbook, which incorporated things like system descriptions (EU), Databank procedures, Change Control procedures etc. should be compiled in one document as a useable handbook. It was agreed that this was a good way to go.

The first step will be to establish what has been produced and is in use and list this in a Master Record Index (MRI). All individuals who have been responsible for producing documents should email them to K Loy and M Williamson.

b) Work Package 2 – Data on CAR/SAM requirements for transmission by ICAO Paris

M Williamson to compile from Annex 1 and H Swinnen to compile EUR data from Annex 2 & 3

c) Work Package 3 - Problem reporting

Problems, which are being experienced operationally, should be collated and dealt with on an ongoing basis and where appropriate, reported to the METG & MOTNEG. It was agreed that a solution to establish how best to capture this would be co-ordinated by W Van Dyke, H Swinnen and E Noreus.